

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

6

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/697,374	10/26/2000	Wen H. Wu	28939/36715	3688	
7:	590 02/03/2004	EXAMINER			
James J. Napoli			COLE, MONIQUE T		
Marshall, O'To	ole. Gerstein				
6300 Sears Tov	ver	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
233 south Wacker Drive			1743		
Chicago, IL 60606-6402			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 🐔 🔒 💪		Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/697,37	74	WU				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Monique 1	Г. Cole	1743				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no evo within the stat will apply and wo cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)🖂 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 O</u>	ctober 200	<u>3</u> .					
2a)□ ⁻	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is no	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims	•						
5)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application		*	,					
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		objected to by the E	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment(
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	_·			

Application/Control Number: 09/697,374

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

The indicated allowability of claims 2, 10, 11 and 15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Robinson. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 4,902,719 to Gerhart et al. (herein referred to as "Gerhart").

Gerhart teaches a composition comprising an ornithine derivative in an amount of 0.5-25%; a methylcellulose, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose or carboxymethylcellulose; water and ethanol. See col. 9, lines 47-64; col. 10, lines 17-19. The R group in this reference consists of an alkyl substituted with an amino group. The R group is not optionally substituted with hydroxy. The composition may further comprise an anionic detergent selected from olefin sulfonates and sulfosuccinates (col. 10, lines 9-11). The Examiner acknowledges that the instant claims recite that the composition be "capable of exhibiting a detectable and measurable color transition in response to a concentration of a dialdehyde" however, the claimed composition is limited to components a, b and c and do not require the presence of the dialdehyde. Moreover, it

Application/Control Number: 09/697,374

Art Unit: 1743

is the Examiner's position that since the components of Gerhart are the same as those instantly claimed, the composition as claimed by Gerhart would inherently possess the ability to react colorimeterically to the presence of a dialdehyde.

3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,603,923 to Robinson et al. (herein referred to as "Robinson").

Robinson teaches a composition that comprises from about .1-10% of lysine; .15% of hydroxyethylcellulose and a carrier. See claim 1, col. 16, line 10.

The Examiner acknowledges that the instant claims recite that the composition be "capable of exhibiting a detectable and measurable color transition in response to a concentration of a dialdehyde" however, the claimed composition is limited to components a, b and c and do not require the presence of dialdehyde. Moreover, it is the Examiner's position that since the components of Robinson are the same as those instantly claimed, the composition as claimed by Robinson would inherently possess the ability to react colorimeterically to the presence of a dialdehyde.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Morgue J. Cole Monique T. Cole

Examiner

Art Unit 1743

MC